

Exhibit D, Evaluation Tool RFA No. HHS0016203 Criteria, Subcriteria Sheet				
Evaluator				
Respondent				
#	Criteria	Weight	Score	Comments
1	Experience			
1.1	Evaluate the Applicant's organizational structure, key management, and experience in administering state Projects, state grants, or other Contracts with similar participant population.	10%		
1.2	Evaluate the Applicant's described experience, knowledge, and expertise in providing direct Client services for pregnant women and families.	20%		
	Subtotal	30%		
2	Project Design			
2.1	Evaluate the Applicant's proposed plans to provide at least one (1) required service and deliver personalized Client services.	35%		
	Subtotal	35%		
3	Project Details			
3.1	Evaluate the Applicant's involvement in community capacity building, and participation on community coalitions that support services for pregnant women and their families.	20%		
3.2	Evaluate the Applicant's ability to reach target populations(s) and making the general public aware of the program, services provided, and access to services.	15%		
	Subtotal	35%		
	TOTAL (%)	100%		

Exhibit D, Evaluation Tool RFA No. HHS0016203		
Evaluation Scoring Guide		
Score	Level	Description
Unacceptable	1	Response does not address requirement. Response is completely unacceptable.
Unacceptable	2	Response mentions requirement, but is not responsive to the elements of the requirement.
Unacceptable	3	Response addresses requirement, but response described does not allow the agency to fulfill mission.
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	4	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (would require both the agency and Respondent to make significant changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	5	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require both the agency and Respondent to make minor changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	6	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require changes to be made by Respondent only).
Acceptable	7	Response clearly satisfies requirement but has some minor weaknesses.
Acceptable	8	Response clearly satisfies requirement.
Acceptable	9	Response satisfies requirements and has some benefits above requirement.
Exceptional	10	Response far exceeds all aspects of requirement.

For the purposes of this exhibit, "the agency" means the contracting state agency as specified in the solicitation.

Exhibit D, Evaluation Tool RFA No. HHS0016203		
No.	Best Value Criteria	Weight
1	Experience	30%
2	Project Design	35%
3	Project Details	35%
	GRAND TOTAL	100%